RICARDO-AEA

Air Quality Monitoring Annual Report 2013

Birmingham Airport



Report for Birmingham Airport Ltd

Ricardo-AEA/R/3405
Issue 1
Date 26/02/2014

Customer:

Birmingham Airport Ltd

Customer reference:

ED20645035

Confidentiality, copyright & reproduction:

Contact:

David Madle
Ricardo-AEA Ltd
Gemini Building, Harwell, Didcot, OX11 0QR
t: 01235 75 3257
e: david.madle@ricardo-aea.com

Ricardo-AEA is certificated to ISO9001 and ISO14001

Author:

Alison Loader

Approved By:

David Madle

Date:

26 February 2014

Ricardo-AEA reference:

Ref: Ricardo-AEA/R/3405- Issue 1

Executive summary

Birmingham Airport commissioned Ricardo-AEA to produce an annual report to provide analysis and commentary on the 2013 data sets from the Birmingham Airport air quality monitoring station, as managed and collated by Ricardo-AEA. This ambient air quality monitoring survey forms part of the Airport's commitment to monitor air quality within the requirements of the Section 106 Obligations with Solihull MBC. The objective of the monitoring is to provide information on current air quality in the area and the levels of pollution to which the community is currently exposed.

In the context of Local Air Quality Management, nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) is the main pollutant of specific relevance to airport emission sources. A demonstration of Birmingham Airport's compliance with the UK Air Quality Strategy (AQS) Objectives for NO_2 is provided in the table below.

Pollutant	AQS Objective	Threshold	Result for Birmingham Airport	Objective met?
NO_2	1-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	200 µg m^{-3}	0 exceedances	Yes
	Annual mean	40 µg m^{-3}	24 µg m^{-3}	Yes

The AQS objectives for carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO_2), benzene and PM_{10} particulate matter were also met during 2013.

Of the pollutants monitored, only ozone did not meet the applicable Air Quality Strategy (AQS) objective. Ozone is a transboundary pollutant which is difficult to control by local measures: it is therefore not currently included in the Local Air Quality Management regime.

The investigation of potential pollutant sources identified the airport as a key source of the NO_2, SO_2 and CO measured at the monitoring site, although for higher wind speeds there also appeared to be a contribution from the direction of the large car park. Particulate matter (PM_{10}) concentrations were found to be partially influenced by the airport but at higher wind speeds a source in an easterly direction dominated.

Table of contents

Appendices

1 Introduction

Birmingham Airport Ltd (referred to here as “Birmingham Airport”) has undertaken continuous ambient air quality monitoring at a monitoring station on the airport premises since April 1995. This forms part of the Airport’s commitment to monitor air quality through the requirements of the Section 106 Planning Agreement between Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council (SMBC) and Birmingham Airport. The monitoring is intended to provide information on current air quality in the area and the levels of pollution to which the neighbouring community is exposed. The data from the air monitoring station are managed and collated by Ricardo-AEA Ltd. This report has been prepared by Ricardo-AEA on behalf of Birmingham Airport, to provide analysis and commentary on the 2013 dataset.

1.1 UK Air Quality Strategy

Within the European Union, ambient air quality is covered by Directive 2008/50/EC on Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe[1], known as the Air Quality Directive (AQD). This consolidated four previously existing Directives, which set limit values for a range of air pollutants with known health impacts. The original Directives were transposed into UK law via the Environment Act 1995 and subsequent Statutory Instruments. This Act also placed a requirement on the Secretary of State for the Environment to produce a national air quality strategy containing standards, objectives and measures for improving ambient air quality.

The Environment Act 1995 also introduced the system of local air quality management (LAQM). This requires local authorities to review and assess air quality in their areas against the national air quality objectives. Where any objective is unlikely to be met by the relevant deadline, the local authority must designate an air quality management area (AQMA). Local authorities then have a duty to carry out further assessments within any AQMAs and draw up an action plan specifying the measures to be carried out to achieve the air quality objectives, and the timescale for this. The legal framework given in the Environment Act has been adopted in the UK via the UK Air Quality Strategy.

The air quality objectives are based on recommendations of the Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS) regarding the levels of air pollutants at which there would be little risk to human health. All Air Quality Strategy objectives must be at least as stringent as the EC limit values.

Since its original publication in 1997, the UK Air Quality Strategy has undergone a number of updates. These have reflected improvements in the understanding of air pollutants and their health effects. They have also incorporated new European limit values, both for pollutants already covered by the Strategy and for newly introduced pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and $PM_{2.5}$ particulate matter. The latest version of the strategy was published by Defra in 2007[2]. The current UK air quality objectives for the pollutants monitored at Birmingham Airport are presented in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Applicable objectives included in the Air Quality Standards Regulations (2010) for the purpose of Local Air Quality Management.

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective		To be achieved by
	Concentration	Measured as	
Benzene (England and Wales)	5.00 µg m^{-3}	Annual mean	31 December 2010
Carbon monoxide (CO) (England, Wales and N. Ireland)	10.0 mg m^{-3}	Maximum daily running 8-hour mean	31 December 2003
Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2)	200 µg m^{-3} not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31 December 2005
	40 µg m^{-3}	Annual mean	31 December 2005
Particles (PM_{10}) (gravimetric) (All authorities)	50 µg m^{-3}, not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24 hour running mean	31 December 2004
	40 µg m^{-3}	Annual mean	31 December 2004
Sulphur dioxide (SO_2)	266 µg m^{-3}, not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31 December 2005
	350 µg m^{-3}, not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31 December 2004
	125 µg m^{-3}, not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31 December 2004
Ozone (O_3)*	100 µg m^{-3} not to be exceeded more than 10 times a year	8 hourly running or hourly mean*	31 December 2005

*** not included as part of the LAQM regime.**

1.2 Emissions from Airports

Aircraft produce the same types of emissions as many other combustion processes. Aircraft jet engines, like many other vehicle engines, produce carbon dioxide (CO_2), water vapour (H_2O), nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of sulphur (SOx), particulate matter of various sizes, hydrocarbons from partially combusted fuel, and other trace compounds. In addition to the aircraft, there will also be emissions from the airside vehicles, and from road vehicles travelling to and from the airport.

Previous rounds of Review and Assessment within the LAQM process have not highlighted any cases where airports appear to have caused exceedances of air quality objectives for particulate matter measured as PM_{10}. Therefore, in the context of LAQM, the key pollutant of concern from airports is NO_2. Local Authorities whose areas contain airports with over 10 million passengers per annum must take these into account in their annual Review and Assessment of air quality.

1.2.1 The Air Quality Strategy and Birmingham Airport

The UK Air Quality Strategy Objectives apply anywhere that public exposure may occur, for example at residential properties, at a bus stop etc. As the airport monitoring site is located by the runway, where members of the public do not have access, strictly these limits do not apply. However, this report compares the data from the site with the Air Quality Strategy (AQS) Objectives. If the site is showing compliance with the objectives for the primary pollutants that are likely to be emitted directly from the airport - namely NO_2, PM_{10}, CO and SO_2 - then it is reasonable to assume that, in the absence of any other significant sources, the objectives are likely to be met at the nearby residential properties.

For the purposes of LAQM, the airport falls under the jurisdiction of Solihull MBC. The Council has reviewed air quality across their area and found that pollutant levels do not exceed the AQS Objectives. Therefore no air quality management areas have been declared in Solihull.

2 Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Pollutants and Measurement Techniques

The following pollutants were monitored at Birmingham Airport in 2013:

- Particulate matter as PM_{10}
- Oxides of nitrogen - NOx, which comprises nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and nitric oxide (NO)
- Carbon monoxide (CO)
- Ozone (O_3)
- Sulphur dioxide (SO_2)
- A suite of four hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes).

Ozone is a secondary pollutant; it is formed by chemical reactions in the air, involving precursor pollutants, rather than emitted directly from source. It is therefore trans-boundary in nature. As a result, Local Authorities have little control over ozone concentrations in their areas. The Government has recognised the problems associated with achieving the air quality objective for ozone, and this is not included in the LAQM regime.

Table 2.1 shows the measurement technique employed for each pollutant.

Table 2.1: Measurement techniques employed at Birmingham Airport in 2013

Pollutant	Measurement Technique
PM_{10}	Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM)
NO_2	Chemiluminescence
NOx	Chemiluminescence
CO	Non-dispersive infrared absorption (NDIR)
O_3	Non-dispersive ultraviolet absorption technology (NDUV)
SO_2	Ultraviolet Fluorescence (UVF)
Hydrocarbons	BTEX diffusion tube (indicative passive sampling technique)

Fortnightly calibrations are performed by Local Site Operators (LSOs) based at Birmingham Airport, to monitor the performance of the analysers. Data from these fortnightly checks, and from two six-monthly independent QA/QC audits carried out by Ricardo-AEA, are used to scale and ratify the data. This data scaling and ratification is carried out by Ricardo-AEA. The analysers are also serviced on a six-monthly basis to ensure their continued operation.

All ambient concentration measurements in the report are quoted in microgrammes per cubic metre ($\mu g\ m^{-3}$) or in the case of carbon monoxide milligrammes per cubic metre ($mg\ m^{-3}$) at reference conditions of 20 °C, 1013 mbar.

Historically, benzene, toluene and xylene were measured using PID (photo ionization detection). However, the measured concentrations were consistently low, so these measurements were discontinued. Indicative measurements are now made using “BTEX” diffusion tubes. BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene) diffusion tubes are exposed monthly in pairs. Benzene is the only one of the BTEX hydrocarbons for which there is an AQS Objective.

2.2 VCM Correction of PM_{10} Data

The TEOM particulate monitor uses a 50 °C heated sample inlet to prevent condensation on the filter. Although necessary, this elevated temperature can result in the loss of volatile and semi-volatile components of PM_{10}, such as ammonium nitrate.

It is not possible to address this problem by applying a simple correction factor. However, King's College London (KCL) have developed a Volatile Correction Model[3], which allows TEOM PM_{10} data to be corrected for the volatile components lost as a result of the TEOM's heated inlet. The model is available at http://www.volatile-correction-model.info/Default.aspx. It uses data from nearby TEOM-FDMS particulate analysers, which measure the volatile and non-volatile components of the PM_{10}. The volatile component (which typically does not vary much over a large region), can be added to the TEOM measurement. KCL state that the resulting corrected measurements have been demonstrated as equivalent to the gravimetric reference equivalent. In this report, the VCM has been used to correct PM_{10} data where applicable. Where this has been done, it is clearly indicated. The methodology for the VCM correction of PM_{10} data is presented in Appendix 1.

2.3 Monitoring Location

The monitoring site is located on the airfield near airport buildings to the east of the runway and north-west of the Main Terminal (OS grid ref. 417395, 284240), having previously been located to the west of the apron area, approximately 300 m due west of the Main Terminal. The site relocation occurred in January 2006. The current location of the monitoring site is shown in Figure 2-1. A map showing the old and new locations is included in Appendix 2.



Figure 2-1: Location of monitoring site. *© Crown Copyright Ordnance Survey Reproduced from Landranger 1:50000 map series, Licence number 100040905*

2.4 Meteorological Data

The following meteorological data were collected at the monitoring station:

- Ambient Temperature (°C)
- Pressure (mbar)
- Relative Humidity (%)
- Wind Direction (°)
- Wind Speed (m s^{-1})

The meteorological data are not covered by the data management contract with Ricardo-AEA. All checking and QA/QC on the meteorological data are carried out by Birmingham Airport, who have sole responsibility for the accuracy of all meteorological data used in this report.

The wind speed and direction frequencies for the whole of 2013 are shown in Figure 2-2. The meteorological data are summarised by direction and by different wind speed categories. Wind speeds are split into the 2 m s^{-1} intervals shown by the scale bar in each plot. The grey circles indicate the percentage of time over the period that the wind was measured from each direction, e.g. Figure 2-2 shows that the wind direction was from the easterly sector (bearing 090º ± 15º, i.e. 075º-105º) for 6% of the year.



Figure 2-2: Wind rose showing the wind speed and direction in 2013.
(The concentric circles indicate the percentage of time that the wind was blowing from each direction.)

During 2013 the wind direction was most frequently in the range 195º - 345º, i.e. between approximately the south west and north west. Highest wind speeds occurred most often when the wind direction was in the range 225º - 245º; this is consistent with the UK's south westerly prevailing wind direction.

The wind speed and direction data, split by month, are shown in Figure 2-3. Notable features for 2013 include the large proportion of time in November when the wind was from 330º, periods of high winds in October and December. The gales that occurred in late December 2013 are evident in the plot for that month.



Figure 2-3: Wind rose showing wind speed and direction by month.

2.5 Regional Analysis

As part of the analyses presented in Section 3, pollutant concentrations from Birmingham Airport are compared to concentrations from other local monitoring sites. This enables the pollutant concentrations recorded at Birmingham Airport to be examined in a regional context. The three monitoring sites used for this comparison are Birmingham Tyburn, Birmingham Tyburn Roadside and Birmingham Acocks Green. Details of these are as follows:

- Birmingham Tyburn: this monitoring station is classified as 'Urban Background', and located to the rear of the council offices. The nearest main road is approximately 60 metres from the station, with the M6 motorway approximately 600 metres to the south. It measures NOx, O_3, PM_{10} $PM_{2.5}$ and SO_2. Monitoring of particulate matter has been carried out using the FDMS-TEOM since 2009.

- The Birmingham Tyburn Roadside monitoring station site is classified as an 'Urban Traffic' site, located on the south side of the A38 approximately 7m from the kerbside, and 60 metres north of the Birmingham Tyburn site. Both are part of the National Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN). It measures NOx, O_3, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. Monitoring of particulate matter has been carried out using the FDMS-TEOM since 2009.

- Birmingham Acocks Green is an 'Urban Background' monitoring station, located in the grounds of an annex to a large school. The monitoring station is approximately 70 metres from the nearest major road. This monitoring station was started up in 2011 and measures NOx, O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$, the latter using an FDMS-TEOM.

3 Data Analysis

This section provides a summary of the data for 2013 and a comparison with the AQS Objectives. It also compares results from the Birmingham Airport site with those from other monitoring sites in the area, and identifies potential emission sources based upon pollution measurements and meteorological data from the site.

3.1 Annual Data Summary

3.1.1 Automatic monitoring data

Table 3.1 presents the key statistics for each pollutant. The Defra Technical Guidance document for LAQM (LAQM.TG(09))[4], requires the use of the Volatile Correction Model (VCM)[3] to correct TEOM data to gravimetric equivalent, as explained in section 2.2. The hourly average concentrations of PM_{10} in this section are therefore corrected using the VCM to enable direct comparison with the Air Quality Strategy (AQS) objectives. The FDMS data used for this purpose are from AURN sites: AURN data for October-December 2013 have not been fully ratified at the time of writing. Therefore it is possible there may be some minor changes to the VCM correction when the fully ratified dataset is released at the end of March 2014.

Data capture for all monitored pollutants was above the Defra target of 90%[4] for ratified datasets. This data capture target does not include losses due to regular calibration or maintenance of the instrument. Any data capture rate above 75% is deemed representative of the full annual period.

There was one significant period of data loss: between 21-23 Sep 2013. This was due to a communications failure. All other significant gaps were due to routine services and maintenance.

Table 3.1: Summary air quality statistics for Birmingham Airport - 2013.

Statistic	PM_{10} μgm^{-3}*	NO_x as NO_2, $\mu g\ m^{-3}$	NO $\mu g\ m^{-3}$	NO_2 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$	O_3 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$	SO_2 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$	CO $mg\ m^{-3}$	Ben-zene ** $\mu g\ m^{-3}$
Maximum 15-minute mean	N/A	657	351	128	160	37	1.4	-
Maximum hourly mean	106	551	286	115	158	29	0.8	-
Maximum running 8-hour mean	N/A	339	175	91	138	16	0.7	-
Maximum running 24-hour mean	N/A	235	108	71	99	8	0.5	-
Maximum daily mean	62	224	101	69	96	8	0.4	-
Average	19	35	8	24	47	1	0.2	0.49 **
Data capture	95.8%	98.8 %	98.8 %	98.8 %	99.1 %	98.9 %	98.9 %	100%

* VCM corrected using provisional FDMS data from AURN sites
** Indicative only: measured using diffusion tubes.

Figure 3-1 presents the hourly average concentrations for PM_{10}, O_3, SO_2 and CO, with hourly average concentrations of NO, NO_2 and NOx in Figure 3-2. A statistical summary of results from 2006-2013 is provided in Appendix 3.



Figure 3-1 Hourly mean PM_{10}, O_3, SO_2 and CO concentrations at Birmingham Airport – 2013.



Figure 3-2 Hourly mean NO, NO_2 and NOx concentrations at Birmingham Airport – 2013.

3.1.2 Non-automatic hydrocarbon monitoring data

The full datasets for benzene and the other hydrocarbon species are presented in Appendix 4.

Figure 3-3 presents the monthly average concentrations of benzene, as measured indicatively using BTEX diffusion tubes. The annual mean benzene concentration was 0.49 µg m^{-3}.



Figure 3-3 Monthly average concentrations of benzene – 2013

3.2 Comparison with Other Local Monitoring Sites

Table 3.2 compares the annual mean and maximum concentrations at Birmingham Airport with those measured at the other three Birmingham AURN sites.

Table 3.2: A comparison of summary statistics for Birmingham Airport and three local AURN monitoring sites.

Parameter	Birmingham Airport	Birmingham Tyburn Roadside	Birmingham Tyburn	Birmingham Acocks Green
Annual mean				
PM_{10} (µg m^{-3})	19 *	19	18	-
NO_2 (µg m^{-3})	24	45	30	35
O_3 (µg m^{-3})	47	32	42	46
SO_2 (µg m^{-3})	1	-	2	-
CO (mg m^{-3})	0.2	-	-	-

* VCM corrected using provisional FDMS data from AURN sites

The annual mean concentration of NO_2 at Birmingham Airport was lower than at the other three sites, all of which are closer to the centre of the city. The annual mean concentration of PM_{10} measured at the Birmingham Airport site in 2013 was comparable with those measured at the other Birmingham sites. The annual mean concentration of ozone at the airport site was similar to that measured at the two urban background sites (Acocks Green and Tyburn) but higher than at Birmingham Tyburn Roadside. The NO_2 and O_3 statistics together indicate that the quantity of emissions in the immediate vicinity of the other Birmingham monitoring stations is greater than at Birmingham Airport. The higher ozone concentration at the airport site indicates there is less NO to react with the available ozone, and thus ozone concentrations are not depleted as they typically are at roadside sites.

3.3 Comparison with AQS Objectives

Table 3.3 presents a comparison of the monitoring data with Air Quality Strategy Objectives for the protection of human health. The AQS Objectives for the pollutants included in the regulations were met in 2013 at Birmingham Airport.

Table 3.3: Comparison with AQS Objectives for the protection of human health.

Pollutant	AQS Objective	Threshold	Result for Birmingham Airport	Objective met?
PM_{10}	24-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	50 µg m^{-3}	3 days	Yes
	Annual mean	40 µg m^{-3}	19 µg m^{-3}	Yes
NO_2	1-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	200 µg m^{-3}	0 exceedances	Yes
	Annual mean	40 µg m^{-3}	24 µg m^{-3}	Yes
O_3	Daily maximum of running 8-hour means not to be exceeded more than 10 times a year ***(not included in LAQM)***	100 µg m^{-3}	21 days	**No**
SO_2	15-min mean not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	266 µg m^{-3}	0 exceedances	Yes
	1-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	350 µg m^{-3}	0 exceedances	Yes
	24-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	125 µg m^{-3}	0 exceedances	Yes
CO	Maximum daily running 8 hour mean	10 mg m^{-3}	0 exceedances	Yes
Benzene (England & Wales)	Calendar year mean	5 µg m^{-3}	0.49 µg m^{-3}	Yes

There were three days on which the VCM-corrected daily mean PM_{10} concentration exceeded the AQS objective of 50 µg m^{-3}. This is well within the maximum number of exceedances permitted (35 per calendar year). The annual mean of 19 µg m^{-3} was below the AQS objective of 40 µg m^{-3}.

NO_2 is the key pollutant of concern within Local Air Quality Management, especially around airports. There were no measured exceedances of the NO_2 hourly mean objective. The annual mean of 24 µg m^{-3} was also well below the annual mean objective of 40 µg m^{-3}.

AQS objectives for CO, SO_2 and benzene were also met in 2013.

The AQS objective for ozone was *exceeded* in 2013. There were 21 days on which the maximum daily 8-hour mean ozone concentration exceeded 100 µg m^{-3}, compared with the permitted maximum of 10 days. However, as highlighted in section 2.1, ozone is currently not included in the LAQM regime, because it is a transboundary pollutant over which Local Authorities have very little control.

3.4 Emissions Sources

In order to investigate the possible sources of air pollution at the Birmingham Airport site, meteorological data from the site were used to produce bivariate polar plots of hourly pollutant concentrations against the corresponding wind speed and wind direction (Figure 3-4). The further the data point is plotted from the central position on the plot the higher the wind speed when the value was recorded; the pollutant concentration is shown by colour – see the key to the right of each plot. **The meteorological data are not covered by the data management contract with Ricardo-AEA. All checking and QA/QC on the meteorological data are carried out by Birmingham Airport, who have sole responsibility for the accuracy of all meteorological data used in this report.**

These plots are intended to provide a visual indication as to the direction of possible sources of pollution that are being measured at the site. They do not usually allow conclusive identification of specific sources.

As with previous years the plot of CO shows that the highest concentration is in the centre of the plot (i.e. when wind speeds are low) with lower concentrations as wind speeds increase. This pattern indicates the main source of CO is in close proximity of the monitoring site, and dispersion of this pollutant increases with increasing wind speed. The nearby sources appear to be to the SE (possibly the main aircraft boarding areas) and approximately NNW (the direction of the fire station, also the nearest built up area.) The sources are likely to be aircraft and airside vehicles.

The pollution rose for SO_2 shows a very different pattern. There is little SO_2 evident at low wind speeds. However, high wind speeds bring higher concentrations of this pollutant, from two specific directions, the north east and south east. The former direction is that of a large building with parked trucks evident, and the latter is the direction of the main terminals and runways.

NO (which, like CO and SO_2, is a “primary” pollutant i.e. one emitted directly from source rather than formed from chemical reactions in the air) shows a similar pattern to CO, with highest concentrations in the centre of the plot, i.e. at low wind speeds. This is a clear indication that the main sources of this pollutant are nearby – probably aircraft and airside vehicles.

For NO_2, which has both a primary and secondary component, there is more evidence of a source in the direction of the runways and car park. This is evident at a range of wind speeds, indicating that here are sources near (as for NO) and further away. At higher speeds there also appears to be a contribution from the north east – possibly from the built up areas surrounding the airport.

The pollution rose for VCM-corrected PM_{10} shows a contribution from the east of the site. In 2013, highest concentrations appeared to occur at very high wind speeds – this could perhaps have included some wind-blown dust.

The final bivariate plot shows concentrations of ozone. Being a secondary pollutant ozone is formed from chemical reactions in the ambient air. The plot demonstrates that elevated concentrations of ozone are measured at the site when wind speeds are sufficient to bring in ozone rich air from other areas of the region. The plot indicates that the most significant sources are from the rural areas to the east and south west, where ozone formation is allowed to continue unchecked by the influence of other pollutant emissions, and lowest in the direction of the sources of primary pollutants.



Figure 3-4: Bivariate plots showing pollutant concentrations as a function of both wind direction and wind speed.

4 Conclusions

The conclusions of Ricardo-AEA's examination of the 2013 dataset from Birmingham Airport's ongoing air quality monitoring are as follows:

1. Data capture of at least 90% was achieved for all the pollutants monitored at Birmingham Airport. This met the Defra target of 90%[5], set in LAQM.TG(09) and allowed for calculation of robust annual statistics.

2. All of the Air Quality Strategy Objectives for the protection of human health were met at the site during 2013, with the exception of ozone which is not included in the regime.

3. NO_2 is the pollutant of most concern with specific relevance to airport emission sources as detailed within TG(09)[5]. No exceedances of the Air Quality Strategy objective for hourly mean NO_2 (200 µg m^{-3}, not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year) were recorded at Birmingham Airport.

4. The annual mean NO_2 concentration of 24 µg m^{-3} was within the Air Quality Strategy objective of 40 µg m^{-3}.

5. The annual mean concentration of PM_{10}, after VCM correction, was 19 µg m^{-3}, which is within the Air Quality Strategy objective for this parameter of 40 µg m^{-3}. There were three days on which the daily mean exceeded the relevant Air Quality Strategy objective of 50 µg m^{-3}. A maximum of 35 exceedances is permitted during a calendar year.

6. The Air Quality Strategy objective of 100 µg m^{-3} for 8-hour mean ozone concentration was exceeded on 21 days. This is greater than the maximum permitted 10 days, but ozone is a long-range, transboundary pollutant which is difficult to control by local measures.

7. Investigation of emission sources, using meteorological data from Birmingham Airport, indicated that the airport was a source of NO, NO_2 and CO. Also, at higher wind speeds a contribution to PM_{10} concentrations was identified from sources to the east and south-east.

8. PM_{10} showed a similar pattern at low wind speeds. However, at high wind speeds there was a large contribution from sources to the east. This could have been wind-blown dust.

9. Ozone concentrations showed a different pattern, consistent with its formation as a secondary pollutant. The most significant sources were from the less built-up areas to the east and south-west, where it is likely that ozone formation was unchecked by the influence of other pollutant emissions, and lowest in the direction of the sources of primary pollutants.

5 References

1. EC (2008) Council Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe [online]. Available from: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32008L0050:EN:NOT (Accessed 5th Feb 2014).

2. Defra (2007). The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland (Volume 1). Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs in partnership with the Scottish Executive, Welsh Assembly Government and Department of the Environment Northern Ireland. July 2007. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-air-quality-strategy-for-england-scotland-wales-and-northern-ireland-vol-1 (Accessed 5th Feb 2014).

3. King's College London Volatile Correction Model available at http://www.volatile-correction-model.info/Default.aspx . July 2008. (Accessed 5th Feb 2014).

4. Defra (2009). Part IV of the Environment Act 1995. Local Air Quality Management – Technical Guidance TG(09). Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs in partnership with the Scottish Executive, Welsh Assembly Government and Department of the Environment Northern Ireland. January 2009. http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/technical-guidance/ (Accessed 5th Feb 2014).

Appendices

Appendix 1: VCM Correction of PM_{10} Data

Appendix 2: Monitoring Station Location

Appendix 3: Statistical Summary 2006 to 2013

Appendix 4: Hydrocarbon Diffusion Tube Results 2013

Appendix 1 – VCM Correction of PM_{10} Data

TEOM

The PM_{10} monitoring data recorded by TEOM monitors were corrected with the king's College Volatile Correction Model (VCM). This online tool allows TEOM measurements to be corrected for the loss of volatile components of particulate matter that occur due to the high sampling temperatures employed by this instrument. The resulting corrected measurements have been demonstrated as equivalent to the gravimetric reference equivalent.

Method:

The following data are required as inputs to the VCM:

- Daily or hourly average temperatures
- Daily or hourly pressures
- Daily or hourly TEOM concentrations (µg m^{-3})
- Daily or hourly FDMS (Filter Dynamic Measurement System) purge measurements (µg m^{-3})

The VCM works by using the volatile particulate matter measurements provided by nearby FDMS instruments (within 130 km) to assess the loss of PM_{10} from the TEOM; this value is then added back onto the TEOM measurements.

The correction generated by the VCM is geographically specific, so an exact location of the TEOM instrument is therefore required.

The VCM can be accessed through http://www.volatile-correction-model.info.

Appendix 2 – Monitoring Station Location



Site 1 is the old AQ location. Site 2 is the current AQ location, operational from 10/01/06 onwards.

Appendix 3 - Statistical Summary 2006 to 2013

Statistic	PM_{10} (μgm^{-3})†	NO_x (as NO_2) (μgm^{-3})	NO (μgm^{-3})	NO_2 (μgm^{-3})	O_3 (μgm^{-3})	SO_2 (μgm^{-3})	CO (mgm^{-3})	Benzene (μgm^{-3})
2013								
Max. hourly mean	106	551	286	115	158	29	0.8	-
Annual mean	19	35	8	24	47	1	0.2	0.49**
Max. daily mean	62	224	101	69	96	8	0.4	-
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	339	175	91	138	16	0.7	-
Max. 15-min mean	-	657	351	128	160	37	1.4	-
Data capture (%)	95.8%	98.8%	98.8%	98.8%	99.1%	98.9%	98.9%	**100%**
2012								
Max. hourly mean	157	605	321	115	158	56	1.6	-
Annual mean	18	40	10	24	41	2	0.2	0.41**
Max. daily mean	51	-	-	-	-	11	-	-
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	144	-	1.2	-
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	61	-	-
Data capture (%)	97	98	98	98	99	98	99	100
2011								
Max. hourly mean	135	460	241	117	158	35	0.9	-
Annual mean	21	36	8	24	49	2	0.2	0.51**
Max. daily mean	71	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	136	-	0.8	-
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	40	-	-
Data capture (%)	91	96	96	96	96	96	96	92
2010								
Max. hourly mean	200	682	371	159	168	32	1.5	-
Annual mean	19	46	12	28	41	2	0.2	0.8**
Max. daily mean	48	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	144	-	0.8	-
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	-
Data capture (%)	93	99	99	99	99	97	97	100
2009								
Max. hourly mean	85	640	356	180	126	35	2.0	-
Annual mean	18	34	9	21	42	2	0.2	*1.0**
Max. daily mean	55	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	108	-	1.6	-
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	37	-	-
Data capture (%)	92	94	94	94	94	94	94	100
2008								
Max. hourly mean	305	1289	720	220	158	29	3.1	
Annual mean	16	41	11	25	47	2	0.2	0.9**
Max. daily mean	61	-	-	-	-	9	-	-
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	152	-	2.4	-
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	-
Data capture (%)	91.3	95.6	95.6	95.6	91.5	95.6	95.6	100.0

Statistic	PM_{10} (μgm^{-3})†	NO_x (as NO_2) (μgm^{-3})	NO (μgm^{-3})	NO_2 (μgm^{-3})	O_3 (μgm^{-3})	SO_2 (μgm^{-3})	CO (mgm^{-3})	Benzene (μgm^{-3})
2007								
Max. hourly mean	244	932	521	145	148	*43**	2.6	
Annual mean	21	49	14	28	40	*5**	0.2	1.0**
Max. daily mean	116	-	-	-	-	*13**	-	
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	135	-	1.6	
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	*90**	-	
Data capture (%)	89.7	86.5	86.5	86.5	99.2	40.2	97.5	100.0
2006								
Max. hourly mean	466	686	349	189	202	32	2.1	
Annual mean	22	47	13	27	47	5	0.2	1.1**
Max. daily mean	92	-	-	-	-	16	-	
Max. running 8-hr mean	-	-	-	-	195	-	1.7	
Max. 15-min mean	-	-	-	-	-	43	-	
Data capture (%)	90.4	79.8	79.8	79.8	93.8	91.6	93.3	100.0

† - VCM corrected 2009 onwards; * Results indicative only due to the low data capture.; ** Results based on monthly exposure periods

Appendix 4 – Hydrocarbon Diffusion Tube Results 2013

Mean concentrations in ppb (the units in which the results are reported by the analyst).

Approximate month	Date exposed	Date removed	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	Ethyl benzene (ppb)	mp-xylene (ppb)	o-xylene (ppb)
Jan 2013	21/12/2012	01/02/2013	0.12	0.20	0.04	0.09	0.05
Feb 2013	01/02/2013	01/03/2013	0.13	0.15	0.03	0.07	0.03
Mar 2013	01/03/2013	27/03/2013	0.19	0.15	0.04	0.08	0.04
Apr 2013	27/03/2013	26/04/2013	0.22	0.18	0.12	0.26	0.09
May 2013	26/04/2013	24/05/2013	0.18	0.23	0.17	0.38	0.15
Jun 2013	24/05/2013	24/06/2013	0.20	0.22	0.10	0.17	0.06
Jul 2013	24/06/2013	22/07/2013	0.13	0.19	0.11	0.25	0.11
Aug 2013	22/07/2013	16/08/2013	0.14	0.21	0.12	0.28	0.11
Sep 2013	16/08/2013	27/09/2013	0.08	0.31	0.10	0.26	0.10
Oct 2013	27/09/2013	25/10/2013	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.23	0.09
Nov 2013	25/10/2013	22/11/2013	0.17	0.16	0.09	0.24	0.08
Dec 2013	22/11/2013	07/01/2014	0.14	0.20	0.10	0.22	0.08
Mean 2013			**0.15**	**0.20**	**0.10**	**0.21**	**0.08**

Mean concentrations converted to µg m^{-3}, the units in which they are presented in this report.

Approximate month	Date exposed	Date removed	Benzene (µgm^{-3})	Toluene (µgm^{-3})	Ethyl benzene (µgm^{-3})	mp-xylene (µgm^{-3})	o-xylene (µgm^{-3})
Jan 2013	21/12/2012	01/02/2013	0.38	0.75	0.16	0.42	0.21
Feb 2013	01/02/2013	01/03/2013	0.41	0.57	0.13	0.29	0.13
Mar 2013	01/03/2013	27/03/2013	0.60	0.57	0.15	0.35	0.15
Apr 2013	27/03/2013	26/04/2013	0.73	0.71	0.53	1.17	0.39
May 2013	26/04/2013	24/05/2013	0.57	0.88	0.77	1.69	0.67
Jun 2013	24/05/2013	24/06/2013	0.65	0.84	0.42	0.75	0.27
Jul 2013	24/06/2013	22/07/2013	0.42	0.71	0.50	1.09	0.51
Aug 2013	22/07/2013	16/08/2013	0.44	0.80	0.53	1.24	0.46
Sep 2013	16/08/2013	27/09/2013	0.26	1.17	0.43	1.16	0.44
Oct 2013	27/09/2013	25/10/2013	0.37	0.77	0.69	1.00	0.38
Nov 2013	25/10/2013	22/11/2013	0.54	0.60	0.42	1.08	0.37
Dec 2013	22/11/2013	07/01/2014	0.46	0.75	0.42	0.97	0.34
Mean 2013			**0.49**	**0.76**	**0.43**	**0.93**	**0.36**

In both the above tables, figures in red indicate that one or both of the diffusion tube results was below the Limit of Detection. Such results have been treated as ½ LoD for the purposes of calculating averages.

RICARDO-AEA

The Gemini Building
Fermi Avenue
Harwell
Didcot
Oxfordshire
OX11 0QR

Tel: 01235 75 3000
Web: www.ricardo-aea.com